In The United States For The Eastern Distr	District Court rict of Wisconsin
Anthony Cordova Plainti	ff,
<b>∀</b> ′	Case No. 22-C-1434
Kevin A. Carr; Sarah Cooper; Randall Hepp; Union Supply Direct, De Thomson Reutes Defer	pt. 500  PEB FACTOR  CHARACTECOUNT  Wisconsin Eastern  FEB FACTOR  CHARACTECOUNT  CHARACTECOUNT
Amended Complaint Adding Two Defendants Demand For Lury Trial Verified Complaint for damages and in- junctive relief pursuant to 42 21.S.C. & 1983	

#### I. Invisdiction and Venue

1. This is a Civil Rights Complaint authorized by 42 21.5. C. \$ 1983 to redress the deprivation under color of State law of sights Secured by the Constitution of the United States.

This Court has jurisdiction under 25 U.S.C. & 1331 and 1334 (a) (b).

Plaintiff Anthony Cordova, Seeks declaratory relief pursuadt to 28 21.5.C. \$\$ 2201 and 2202,

Plaintiff Anthony Cordova, Claims for injunctive relief and authorized by 28 4.5.C. 88 2283 and 2284, and Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

This Court is the appropriate Venue Under 28 U.S.C. & 1391 (b) (2) because, it is where the events and deprivation of Constitutional rights & claims gave rise to these claims, and where they occurred.

### II. Plaintiff

2. Anthony Cordova, the plaintiff in this Civil Rights Complaint alleging the deprivation of a tirst Hymendment claim and due process, Access to the Courts, Frand, and breach of Contract, is and at all times mentioned herein, is a prisoner of the State of Misconsin, in the Custody of the Department of Corrections, at the Waupun Correctional Institution, Jocated at 200 South Madison Street, P.D. BOX 351, Waupun, WI 53963-0351.

### III. Defendants

3. Defendant Kevin A. Carr, is and at all times mentioned herein is employed by the Department of Corrections, located at 3099 East Washington Avenue, P.O. BOX 7925, Madison, all 53707.

4. Defendant Sarah Cooper, is and at all times mentioned herein is employed by the Department of Corrections, located at 3

3099 East Washington Avenue, P.O. BOX 7925. Madison, WI 53707.

5. Defendant Randall Hepp, is and at all times mentioned herein, is employed by the Department of Corrections, located at 200 South Madison Street, P. D. BDX 351, Wanpun, W.I. 53963-0351

6. Defendant Union Supply Direct and Union Commissary Solutions, are entities and Contracted to provide personal property to prisoners at the Waupun Correctional Institution as well as provisions, is and at all times Mentioned herein is a Corporation, located at P.O. BOX 6/9059, Dallas, TX 75261-9059. And is in Violation of the Contract, and fraud laws.

7. Detendant Thomson Rentes, is a Corporation that Contracted with detendants Kevin A. Carr, and Sarah Cooper, to provide legal research Services to the prisoners at the Manpun Correctional Institution, is and at all times mentioned herein, located -4-

# at 610 Opperman Drive, Eagan, MN 55123.

## IV. Statement of Claims

8. On December, 2021. Plaintiff Anthony Cordova, Subscribed to the Prison Legal News". News and the Criminal Legal News". Plaintiff Anthony Cordova, received only the December issues. After not receiving the Lanuary, 2023 issues of Prison legal news, mor Criminal legal News, Plaintiff Anthony Cordova, filed an inmate Complaint on 2/15/2022.

9. On 4/14/2012 Tina Moon, the Institution Complaint Examiner (ICE) Sent the plaintiff Hnthony Cordova, an ICE Return etter because I had listed both; the Prison Legal News, and the Criminal Legal News magazines on the Same Complaint. The Department of Corrections (herein after DOC) does this (limits one issue per complaint) because it a prisoner has more than one issue to Complain about the time to file a Separate Complaint will have expired, barring the prisoner from filing unother Complaint.

10. On 4/22/2022. plaintiff Anthony Cordova, tiled a Complaint, stating that I was being denied my Criminal Legal News magazine. I had written to the property Sergeant, and Captain Kyle Trift, who was the property Supervisor prior to tiling my inmate Complaint, howeve, it was to no avail.

11. On t/21/2012. My people contacted the publisher of the Criminal Legal News, and the Prison Legal News magazines had been mailed to plaintiff Anthony Cordova, and the publisher confirmed that they had been mailing both magazines.

12. Tina Moon, Called the publisher a liar Stating "It a mail piece is denied you will receive a NOND (notice of non delivery) It you have not received a NOND then nothing has arrived for you. You need to Contact the ven-

# 13. On 1/27/2022, plassnift Anthony

banguts Yeturned app of page 2, chearly, states hearing or Prison Legal Iven, been denoted of olksoners. the Criminal Legal marg ton aroll of my subscription of the a heaveng conterning the Control hipot to 1/16/2093, nor have been plo-

Cordova, wrot a letter to tina Moon, the institution Complaint Examiner (ICE) in that letter Anthony Cordova Corrected the ICE on the term Publication that she (Tina Moon) on the term Publication that she ( /ina /loon)

flas trying to inject into the record.

In the Cordova informed her ( Tina Moon) that

Anthony Cordova had tiled two Complaints; One
tor the "rison Legal News" and the other for

the "Criminal Legal News" I informed her

( Tina Moom) that the term "Publication" relas

vague, in Contrast to the discription that

I Anthony Cordova, had given in the Com
plaint (uci 2022-6503) and (uci-2022-6798). 14. On 5/3/2022, Plaintiff Plnthony Cordova did receive acknowledgement that complaint WCI-2022-6798 had been received on 5/2/2022. On the Same date plaintiff Hnthony Cordova, did receive notice of rejection of the Complaint (2002-2022-6798). The Complaint 2003 rejected on the basis that the plaintiff Hnthony Cordova, did not provide Sufficient information to Support the Complaint.

15. On 5/5/2022, plaintiff Anthony Cordova did fil an appeal with the defendant Randall Hepp, in accordance with the defendants own rules and regulations, Wisconsin Administrative Code chapter \$\infty\$ 310 Complaint procedures.

16. In both of My appeals on the rejected complaints (rut I-2022-6503) and (ruc I-2022-6503) and (ruc I-2022-6503) and (ruc I-2022-6798) Anthony Cordova did inform the deffendant Randall Hepp, that the Magazines Prison Legal News and Criminal Legal News "ruce not detrimental to the Security, good order, or discipline of the prison, and that the prison legal news and Criminal and that the prison legal news and Criminal facilitate Criminal activity, and do not vio-late any prison rules. There is no individual-ized determination that the Criminal azines violate the rules of the Waupun Correctional Institution Concerning the Content and Censorship. It they did the Admini-Stration would have outlined the Violation and the violation number.

17. On 5/1/2022 plaintiff Anthony Cordova did receive notice that the appeal had been received.

18. On 5/13/2022 plaintiff Anthony Cordova did receive a decision on Complaint (NICI-2022-6798) from the appeal from detendant Randall Hepp. The defendant aftermed the ICE's recommendation to reject the Complaint.

19. On 3/4/2022 plaintiff Anthony Cordova did file a complaint in regards to being denied the prison legal news magazine. The Complaint was returned to Anthony Cordova on 5/6/2022, on the grounds that it a piece of mail is denied you will receive a NOND Cnotice of mon delivery); If you have not received a NOND then nothing has arrived for you. You need to contact the vendor," Plaintiff Anthony Cordova has not received any Kind of due process motice, or heaving Concerning the Contiscation of his prison legal news and criminal legal mens magazines. Nor has the plaintiff Anthony Cordova, been given any kind of notice as to why the magazines.

mere a threat to the prison, the staff, prisoners, or the discipline of the prison.

20. The Prison Legal News, Nor the Criminal Legal News, pose any Kind of Security Anreat, or risk to the institution, Staff, or prisoners, Plaintiff Anthony Cordova has been denied the above Legal Magazines Since Lanuary 2022.

21. On 4/25/2022, plaintiff Anthony Cordova did receive acknowledgement that the Complaint had been received. On that same date the plaintiff Anthony Cordova did receive a rejection motice of the complaint Stating "Immate does not provide Sufficient information to suppost Complaint."

22. On 4/25/2022 plaintiff Anthony Cordova filed an appeal with the defendant Randall Hepp. in accordance with the defendants own tules and regulations. Misconsin Administrative, Subsection DOC 310 Complaint procedures.

23. In the appeal, plaintiff Anthony Cordova sointed out to the detendant Randall Repp that the Prison Legal News magazine consisted of Case law of prison Conditions in Jurisdiction accross the United States. And that plaintiff Anthony Cordova, had not been provided with form (DOC 243) Notice of None Delivery" of mail/publication. Anthony Cordova, has not been Served with any kind of Metice that the Magazine Prison Legal News poses a threat to the Security of the prison, Staff, or prisoners. I plaintiff Anthony Cordova have not been provided with any kind of notice for a hearing for the Confiscation of the Subscription to the prison & legal news and the Criminal legal news Since Lanuary 2022.

24. On 4/28/2022, plaintiff Anthony Cordora did receive notice from defendant Randall Hepp. That he had received the appeal. However, the defendant mever responded with a reply, violating Anthony Cordora's due process rights, by not responding to the appeal eal on complaint Cruci-2022-65632.

25. Because the defendant neglected to respond to the plaintiff's appeal, Anthony Cordova, appealed to the Corrections Complaint Examiner, in ladison, Wisconsin, on 6/10/2022, in an effort to get some Kind of redress, however, the effort was to no avial. Anthony Cordova, explained to the Corrections Complaint examiner, that the defendant Randall Hepp, never responded to his appeal. Anthony Cordova explained that he had been denied his Prison Legal News magazines since January 2022.

16. That Arthony Lordova explained that the prison legal news magazine consisted of case law of prison Conditions in jurisdictions accross the United States.

27. That Anthony Cordova had not been Served with any Kind of notice that the Prison Legal News posed any Kind of a Security threat to Staff, prisoners, or the Security of the prison.

28. That Anthony Cordova had not been served with any Kind of notice or due process hearing, nor any reason why the prison legal news magazines were contistated.

29. The ICE (institution complaint examiner) concluded that Anthony Cordova, did not provide any evidence of the prison legal news being delivered to the prison at 200 South Madison Street, P.D. BDX 351, Man-pun, 201 53963-0351. Nor that Anthony Cordova had provided any documentation that the prison legal news magazine had been mailed out. However, in a telephone Conversation. The Publisher of the prison legal news did tell my people that they had been sending Anthony Cordova's prison legal news magazine and the criminal legal news.

30. The defendants were well aware that to provid Anthony Cordova With form DOC243 (notice of non delivery) Mould show the violations raised in this complaint.

31. On 6/16/2022, plaintiff Anthony Cordova did receive an CCE Return Letter from M. Greenwood (corrections complaint examiner) stating that the "CCE shall not review a rejected complaint (DOC 3/0,12(4)(b), Mis. Adm. Code.

32. No consideration was given to the fact that defendant Randall Hepp. Never gave any Kind of a response to appeal (wcz-2022-6503).

33. On 10/25/2022, Hothory Cordova, filed a complaint concerning the provisions that defendant Union Supply, is suppose to be providing the Maupun Correctional institution general population. Because for the past 2 months 85% of canteen bags are sent back to Anion Supply because 65% of the items that are ordered are not sent.

34. Defendant Union Supply, Enfered into a contract with the Department of Corrections, in Misconsin to provide provisions to the general population and Signegation at the Maupun Correctional Institution,

the defendant Union Supply has breached the Contract by not providing the provisions that are ordered.

35. On 11/10/2022, Anthony Cordova, did receive motice of rejected complaints Stating that the plaintiff Anthony Cordova did not provide Sufficient information to Support the complaint WCI-2022-16364.

36. On 11/18/2022, Anthony Cordova, did file an appeal with defendant Randall Hepp.

37. On 11/23/2022, Anthony Cordova, did receive Motice from defendant Randall Hopp, that my appeal had been received.

38. On 11/29/2022, Anthony Cordova did receive notice from defendant Randall Hupp, afterming the ICEs recommendation to reject the Complaint,

39. Prior to filing the Complaint, Anthony Cordova, did write a letter to detendant Kevin A. Carr. However, the defendant never gave a reply to the letter concerning the provisions that defendant Union Supply is suppose to be providing.

40. On 11/22/2022, the plaintiff Anthony Cordova, did file a complaint concerning the defendant Union Supply.

41. The defendant Union Supply is Selling defective electronic units to the prisoners at the Maupun Correctional institution. The Televisions and Radio Units are detective in that the defendant is removing the Speakers from the Units as well as installing a devise that limits the londness of the Unit. There is no policy at the Maupun Correctional institution that indicates that electronic Units must have the Speakers removed from the Televisions and Radios, nor is there a policy that requires for the instalation of a devise to regulate the Sound.

42. By the defendant Union Supply, doing this, is committing trand becausets charging prisoners the full retail value of the units in a defective condition.

43. Defendants Kevin A. Carr, Sarah Cooper, and Randall Hepp, exceeded their authority in implamenting the Legal Mail censorship policy. That is a fundamental Constitutional right that is considered a protected activity.

44. This Censorship policy to censor legal mail, by the defendants Kevin A. Carr, Sarah Cooper, and Randall Hepp, is mithout legal standing. It this policy had legal substance of any Kind the Jef endants wouldn't require a prisoners Signature, they could just implament it and that would be that. In contrast the policy bents no foundation at all, and is contrary to Constitutional Law as Well as the defendants own rules and procedures. The defendants consorship polficy on legal material presumably re-fletts what they (the detendants) think is reasonably mecessary to serve sec-writy, or legitimate penological interest. This Suggests that by going outside the

Legislative intent when the Legislature promulgated the ruisconsin Department of Corrections Administrative Code, is arguably unreasonable.

45. By the defendants failing to follow the mandate established in the department of corrections administrative Code, is in itself a violation of due process and the first Amendment.

46. Plaintift Anthony Cordova was not allowed/permitted to see the prison legal news, nor the criminal legal news magazines.

47. The defendants made no effort to contact the publisher, nor did the defendants Kevin A. Carr, Sarah Cooper, nor kandall depp, Send the publisher a copy of the form DOC-243 as required by the defendants own rules and regulations.

48. The defendants Kevin A. Carr, Sarah Cooper, and Randall Hepps, Censorship policy of the prison legal news and criminal legal news, is totally unrelated to the Contents of these two legal news magazines.

49. The defendants Kevin H. Carr, Sarah Cooper, and Randall Hipp, totally disregarded established Legislative procedures and standards as mandated by the Legislature in Misconsin Department of Corrections Administrative Code.

50. Plaintiff Anthony Cordova quas not provided with a copy of the defendants individualized determinations as to way the prison legal news or the Criminal legal news, violated the defendants Censorally decided to Censor all incoming and but fainst hand when the defendants returned the Courts letter to the Court.

51. The defendants Kevin A. Carr, Sarah Cooper, and Randall Mepp, decided not to provide Anthony Cordova with a due procreason why the detendants felt it necessary to Censor the Prison Legal News and the Craminal Legal News Magazines.

52. The plaintiff Anthony Cordova, did explain to the defendants that the pris-on legal news and criminal legal news magazines Mere in no way detrimental fothe Security or the operation of the prison, not did the legal magazines confain material relating to making, or using Meapons, alcohol, or instruct Crim-inal activity, or lead to the use of phy-sical violence, or group disruption, or Sexually explicit material that threaten-ed Security, order, or discipline of the prison. However, all the efforts that the plaintiff Anthony Cordova, made to explain the nature of the content of the prison legal news and criminal legal news was to no avail.

53. On 11/27/2022, Anthony Cordova, did file a complaint with the prison ICE Cinstitution complaint examiner In the Complaint & explained that Union Supply was selling bogus Radios to the prisohers at the Manpun prison. The detendant Union Supply is removing features from the Radios and Televisions these features that are being removed are features called axilary input and volume control and other features which will be identified after, or during the discovery stage.

52. On 12/5/2022, Anthony Cordorn did receive motice of rejection.

53. On 12/12/2022, Arthony Cordora did Send defendant Randall Hepp, seco form DOG-0643 (interview/intermation regrest) and in that form Anthony Cordora informed the defendant Randall Hepp, that I had received my notice of a rejected Complaint. And that on that Same date (12/5/2022) I requested an appeal form from the Cell Hall Sergeant, he informed me that he had non and that the forms were on Order.

54. On 12/14/2022, Anthony Cordova

did send form DOC-0643 (interview/information request) to the ICE (institution
complaint examiner) requesting two DOC2182 forms (request for review of rejected
complaint) the ICE Trina Moon, responded by Stating that She had called the
Cell Hall (Northwest Cell Hall) and that Sergeant Manthis, had told her that he
had appeal forms.

55. On 12/23/2022, Anthony Cordova did file form DOC-2182 (request for acriew of rejected complaint).

56. Plaintiff Anthony Cordova's Complaint

plas rejected as frivolous, this indicates

that the Complaint lacked a legal basis,

or merit, and that it was not serious.

mos, that it was reasonably purposeful.

a. defendant Union Supply Clearly

and unambiguously shows that

it is intentionally removing feat
ures from the electronic Units that

it Sells to prisoners at the Maupun

State Prison, the defendants state

this in their Catalog.

-23-

- b. the defendant Union Supply is depreciating the value of the electronic most units by removing these features and installing sound regulation devices.
- c. the defendant Union Supply is Sulling these defective Units to the prisoners at full value.
- d. defendant Union Supply, is a vetailer, and by purchasing these units from manufacturers at wholesale and then marking up the units at full market value and selling them to the pri-somers after the units have been tampered with is trave.
- 57. The plaintiff Anthony Cordova did try to resolve these issues internally, however, those efforts were fruitless. Anthony Cordova also explained to defendants Kevin A. Carr. Sarah Coper, and Randall Hepp, that the Prison Legal News, and the Criminal Legal News Magazines where in no way defrimental

to the Security or the operation of the prison, mor did the prison legal news, nor the Criminal legal news majazines contain material relating to making, or using Meapons, alcohol, or instruct criminal activity, or lead to the use of physical violence, or group disruption, or Sexually explicit material that threatened Security, order, or discipline. However, all the internally efforts that plaintiff Anthony Cordova, made to have these issues resolved internally were to no avail.

### V. Claim For Relief

A. Defendants Kevin H. Carr, Sara Cooper, and Randall Hepp, made no effort to provide Anthony Cordova with any kind of notice, or due process hearing Concerting the Censorship of the Prison Legal News, and the Criminal Legal News Magazines. Nor was any Kind of an explanation offered or provided.

B. Defendants Kevin A. Carr, Sarah

Cooper, and Rundall Hepp, ignored the legislative mandate as set out in the department of Correction Administrative Code, Chapter 309.

C. The defendants Censorship rules has nothing to do with the Contents of the Prison Legal News nor the Crim inal Legal News Magazines.

VI. Relief Regnested

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Anthony Cordova demands a jury frial, and reguests that this court grant the following:

A. Declare that Defendant Keven H.

Carr. violated plainteft Anthony
Cordova's first Amendment Rights,
and due process Rights, when he directed defendant Sarah Cooper, to
promulgate a consorship rule to
Censor all incoming and outgoing
legal mail. Which is outside of the
Department of Corrections own Haministrative Code, which only the legi26-

slature can amend, or repeal.

B. Declare that defendant Savah Cooper, violated plaintiff Anthony's First Amendment Rights and due process Rights, When she promulgated, and directed defendant Randall Hepp, to implament a censorship rule to Censor all outgoing and incoming legal mail. Its stated in her Memorandum to all prisoners at the Wanpun Prison.

C. Declare that defendant Randall
Hepp, violated plaintiff Anthony
Cordova's First Amendment and due
process rights by directing his staff
to Censor all legal mail and return
if to the Courts. Detendant Randall
Hepp, directed his Security Staff
to go around to all the Cellatules and
collect the Signatules of all the priSoners authorizing the DOC (depastment of Corrections) to Censor their
mail. Captain Larson, and a Lieutenant Came to the Northwest cell
Hall, and were collecting the names,

DEC numbers, and cell numbers of all the prisoners in the Northwest Cell Hall, compension whether Consent and give, or not, they wrote this in formation on a piece of open that was on a clipboard. It a prisoner refused to give the prison Consent to Censor his legal mail (as I did) they were told that they would not be able to send or receive mail not even legal mail, (as they did me).

O. That Defendants Kevin A. Carr, Sarah Cooper, and Randall Hepp, have not given a reasonable explanation as to maky plaintiff Hathony Cordova, is being denied the Substriptions to the prison legal news and criminal legal news magazines, whose substriptions stayted on 12/2021.

E. Issue an injunction requising the detendants Kevin H. Carr. Sarah Cooper, and Randall Hipp, to retrain from Censoring any legal mail, legal books, or legal magazines.

- F. That all of the prison legal news and criminal legal news that the defendants confiscated since Lanrary 2022, to date be turned over to the plaintiff Anthony Cordorn,
- G. If the defendants destroyed all the issues of the prison legal news and criminal news, that the court order the defendants to compensate the plaintiff thathony Cordova, for the cost of each magazine distroyed.
- H. Award Compensatory damages for Anthony Cordova's First Hmendment Rights, Due process, Access to the Courts, violations without justifiable Cause.
- I. Lissue an injunction requiring the detendant Thomson Reutes to provide access to the case law that deal directly with the denial of the first armendment, all the Case laws that have been litigated by the Prison Legal News, of the Human

Rights Defence Center have been taken out of the Contract plan so that prisoners don't have access to them (like Anthony Cordova). 1. Grant plaintiff Anthony Cordova, such other relief as it may appear Anthony Cordova, is entitled to, in-cluding attorney fees. Parsuant to 28 M.S.C. & 1946. Anthony Cordova declares that under the penalty of porjuly that the above is true and correct. Dated this 24th day of January 2023. Respectfully Submitted, Hinthony Condora Anthony Cordova Waupur Correctional Institution 200 South Madison Street P.O. BOX 351 Waupun, WI 53963-0351